

The Effects of Non-Thermal Pulsed Electromagnetic Energy (Diapulse®) on Wound Healing of Pressure Ulcers in Spinal Cord-Injured Patients: A Randomized, Double-Blind Study

**C. Andrew Salzberg, MD, Stephanie A. Cooper-Vastola, MD,
Francisco J. Perez, MD, Maricela G. Viehbeck, RN, BSN, ET,
Daniel W. Byrne, MS**

**WOUNDS: A Compendium of Clinical Research and Practice
Volume 7, Number 1, January/February 1995**

**Reprinted by permission from WOUNDS
Copyright © 1995 by Health Management Publications, Inc.**

The Effects of Non-Thermal Pulsed Electromagnetic Energy (Diapulse®) on Wound Healing of Pressure Ulcers in Spinal Cord-Injured Patients: A Randomized, Double-Blind Study

C. Andrew Salzberg, MD
Stephanie A. Cooper-Vastola, MD
Francisco J. Perez, MD
Maricela G. Viehbeck, RN, BSN, ET
Daniel W. Byrne, MS

Abstract: Objective: To determine if Diapulse® treatment significantly increases the healing rate of pressure ulcers in patients with spinal cord injuries. Design: Randomized, double-blind study with a follow-up period of 12 weeks or until healed. Setting: Population consisted of volunteers admitted to a Veterans Administration Hospital in New York state over a two year period. Patients: Thirty male spinal cord-injured patients, 20 with stage II and 10 with stage III pressure ulcers. Intervention: Non-thermal, pulsed, high-frequency, electromagnetic energy (Diapulse) treatment for 30 minutes twice daily for 12 weeks or until healed. Main Outcome Measure: Percent of pressure ulcer healed at one week. Results: Of the 20 patients with stage II pressure ulcers, the active Diapulse group had a significantly increased rate of healing with a greater percent of the ulcer healed at one week than the control group (84.0% vs. 40.0%, $P=0.010$). After controlling for the baseline status of the pressure ulcer, active Diapulse treatment was independently associated with a significantly shorter median time to complete healing of the ulcer (13.0 vs. 31.5 days, $p=0.002$). Stage III pressure ulcers healed faster in the Diapulse treatment group but the sample size was limited. Conclusion: For spinal cord-injured men with stage II pressure ulcers, active Diapulse treatment significantly improved healing.

WOUNDS 1995;7(1):11-16

Introduction

From the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY; Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Castle Point, NY

Address correspondence to:

C. Andrew Salzberg, MD
Westchester Burn Center
Macy Pavilion
Westchester County Medical Center
Valhalla, NY 10595.
Phone (914) 285-8660 Fax (914) 285-7806

Research supported by a grant from the Eastern Paralyzed Veterans Association, 75-20 Astoria Blvd., Jackson Heights, NY 11370

Pressure ulcers and associated complications are major sources of morbidity and mortality among spinal cord-injured (SCI) patients. In addition, acute and chronic osteomyelitis, delayed wound healing, and sepsis all prolong hospitalization. Treatment of pressure ulcers ranges from medical and local treatment to surgical intervention. Recurrences, which may be attributable to diminished tissue resistance to ischemia, are all too frequent and the potential surgical options become limited due to extensive scarring. The current literature lacks well controlled studies proving effectiveness of new types of dressings and pharmacological agents. Based on the understanding that the body is as much

electrical as it is chemical, the use of non-thermal pulsed electromagnetic energy (Diapulse®) was considered.

Previous animal and clinical studies have demonstrated significant benefit of Diapulse treatment in the healing of various types of acute and chronic wounds.^{1,2} In 1960, Erdman³ showed that Diapulse increased peripheral blood flow without causing hyperpyrexia. In following years, histological studies of wounds demonstrated that Diapulse increased collagen formation, WBC infiltration, phagocytosis, histiocytic activity, fat activity, and hematoma canalization.⁴ Diapulse has also been reported to increase resorption of experimental⁵ and postoperative⁶ hematomas.

Double-blind studies have shown that Diapulse has a definite biological effect on recently injured soft tissues especially in the reduction of edema, pain, and disability.^{7,8} A double-blind study of children undergoing orchidopexy, demonstrated a significant reduction of edema, hematoma, and bruise resolution with the active Diapulse unit.⁹

Diapulse treatment has been reported to significantly accelerate healing of donor site wounds¹⁰ and tissue in oral surgery.¹¹ Diapulse was found to increase neural regrowth of peripheral nerves.^{12,13} The hepatic effects of Diapulse have been described in animal¹⁴ and human studies.¹⁵

The positive influence of Diapulse treatment on superficial and deep pressure ulcers has been known since 1978¹⁶ and it has been shown to accelerate healing of pressure ulcers; however, no previous double-blinded study has been published.^{17,18} Although the benefits of Diapulse in wound healing have been well documented, its efficacy in the treatment of pressure ulcers in individuals with spinal cord injuries remains unproven. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of Diapulse in treatment of pressure ulcers in spinal cord-injured patients using a randomized, prospective, double-blind design to minimize the influence of confounding factors.

Methods

Treatment consisted of non-thermal, pulsed, high-frequency, high peak power, electromagnetic energy produced by Diapulse Technology® (Diapulse Corporation, Great Neck, N.Y.). The equipment operated on a radio frequency of 27.12

MHz and provided pulse repetition rates of 80 to 600 pulses per second, a pulse width (duration) of 65 microseconds, a duty cycle between 0.5% and 3.9%, and a per pulse power range between 293 and 975 peak watts. The energy was delivered through a treatment head placed in light contact with the wound site and tuned to resonance in the area of the wound. Treatment was non-invasive and delivered through wound dressings.

Patient population. Spinal cord-injured patients with pressure ulcers admitted to the Veterans Administration Medical Center at Castle Point, New York, over a two-year period (January 1, 1991 to December 31, 1992) were offered the opportunity to participate in this study. Patients with more than one ulcer, recent ulcer surgery, with a cardiac pacemaker, intercurrent disease, active cellulitis, sepsis, terminally ill or end stage disease, total joint replacement (or metal implants), and those with stage I or IV pressure ulcers were omitted from the study.

After obtaining informed consent, patients were stratified according to ulcer stage (II or III) at baseline. Stage II was defined as a partial thickness skin loss involving epidermis or dermis, the ulcer was superficial and clinically presented as a deep crater, abrasion, blister, or shallow crater. Stage III was defined as full thickness skin loss involving damage or necrosis of subcutaneous tissue which may have extended down to, but not through, underlying fascia. The ulcer presented clinically as a deep crater with or without undermining of adjacent tissue.

Procedure/protocol. Within each stage (II and III), patients were randomly assigned to receive therapy from either an active Diapulse or control sham. Patients, staff, and authors were blinded to the identity of the functioning unit until after all patients completed the 12 weeks of treatment. The sham unit was identical in operation, appearance, and sound to the active unit.

Baseline parameters examined included duration of ulcers prior to admission, degree of edema, erythema, epithelialization, and ulcer size. Hemoglobin, hematocrit, protein, and albumin levels were evaluated prior to treatment.

Pressure ulcers were assessed, measured (width x length), and photographed weekly by a single observer (MGV). The focal distance from lens to ulcer was constant (12 inches) for all photographs thereby avoiding objects/image discrepancies. All patients were assessed and pho-

Table 1
Baseline Comparison of Active Diapulse vs Sham
for 20 Stage II Patients

Active	Sham (N=10)	Diapulse (N=10)	P Value
Age (yrs)	50 (29-67)	58 (24-69)	0.343
Area of Pressure Ulcer (cm ²)	33 (9-140)	15 (4-200)	0.089
Granulation Percentage	45 (0-100)	23 (0-100)	0.210
Epithelialization Percentage	10 (0-30)	8 (0-50)	0.222

All values reported as medians and ranges.
P values based on Mann-Whitney U test.

tographed weekly.

Statistical methods. The null hypothesis was: after controlling for the patient's age and baseline stage and size of pressure ulcer, there will be no statistically significant difference in the healing rates between patients who receive active Diapulse therapy and those who receive a sham therapy. Categorical variables were analyzed with the Chi-square statistic. Continuous variables that were normally distributed were assessed with the Student's t-test. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used for the continuous variables that were not normally distributed and medians were reported in place of means.

Multiple regression analysis was used to control for the baseline status of the patient and pressure ulcer. After the patient's age and the pressure ulcer size, percent epithelialization and percent granulation were forced into the model. Treatment (Diapulse vs. Sham) was tested using a stepwise procedure. The statistical package SPSS/PC+ was used for all analyses.¹⁹

Results

Of the 30 spinal cord-injured (SCI) patients who met the study criteria, 20 had a stage II pressure ulcer and 10 had a stage III.

Stage II. Among the stage II patients there was no statistically significant difference for the base-




Figure 1. Healing comparisons of active Diapulse vs sham treatment for 20 stage II patients. One patient in the active Diapulse group was dropped out of the study and was missing days to 100% healing.

line parameters between the 10 patients randomized to active Diapulse and the 10 patients in the sham group (Table 1). The active Diapulse group had significantly better healing (Figure 1). At one week, the active Diapulse group had a greater percentage of their ulcer healed compared with the sham group (84.0% vs. 40.0%, $P=0.01$). The median size of the pressure ulcer at one week after treatment began was also significantly smaller in the active Diapulse group (2.7 vs. 16.5 cm², $P=0.015$). The median for days to complete healing was only 13.0 for the active Diapulse group compared with 31.5 days for the sham ($P<0.001$). One stage II patient in the active Diapulse group was missing data on days to 100% healing (patient number 20, Table 2). This patient dropped out of the study after one week and died of unrelated causes. There were several patients who, when evaluated on day eight and nine, were noted to be completely healed prior to the evaluation and were categorized as 100% healed at one week.

Although the baseline median ulcer size was not statistically different between the 10 active and 10 sham in the stage II, the randomization process did not provide for an even distribution of large pressure ulcers (Tables 1 and 2). Of the five pressure ulcers greater than 60cm², only one was in the active Diapulse group (Figure 2). To solve this problem two additional analyses were performed. First, the subset of patients with pressure ulcers less than or equal to 60cm² were analyzed. Among the 15 patients with stage II pressure ulcers < 60cm², there was significantly accelerated healing associated with the active Diapulse treatment (Figure 3). Secondly, a multiple regression analysis was used to control for the baseline

Table 2
Stage II Patients: Comparison of Healing

Pt. No.	Group	% Healed at One Week	Days 100% Healed
1	S	78	20
2	S	0	83
3	S	10	34
4	S	10	29
5	S	47	35
6	S	71	22
7	S	50	35
8	S	83	23
9	S	33	42
10	S	23	27
11	D	100	7
12	D	100	9
13	D	60	14
14	D	100	8
15	D	47	21
16	D	88	13
17	D	87	15
18	D	81	14
19	D	71	13
20	D	40	-

For Group: S denotes sham, D denotes Diapulse treatment.

size of the pressure ulcer for all 20 stage II patients. After controlling for the patient's age and the pressure ulcer's size, granulation, and epithelialization, Diapulse treatment was found to be independently associated with percent healed at one week ($P=0.002$), and days to 100% healing ($P=0.007$).

Stage III. Among the patients with stage III pressure ulcers, improved wound healing was again associated with the active Diapulse treatment (Figure 4). Three of five patients in the Diapulse group healed completely whereas none of the five control subjects healed.

The patients who healed completely, did so after an average of 43 days treatment. Patients with partial ulcer resolution exhibited an average increase in epithelialization of 38.3% during a mean treatment duration of 38.3 days. In the stage III pressure ulcer population, ulcer area decreased an average of 70.6%; whereas in the control, the ulcer area decreased by only 20.7%. Hemoglobin, hematocrit, protein, and albumin levels were similar between active and control groups in both stage II and stage III patients. No adverse side effects were noted in our study pop-




Figure 2. Initial size of pressure ulcers for 20 stage II patients by percent of the ulcer healed after one week of Diapulse or sham treatment. Since four of the five patients with stage II ulcers larger than 60cm² were randomized into the sham treatment group, further analysis was performed on the 15 patients with ulcers <60cm² in the shaded region.




Figure 3. Healing comparisons of active Diapulse vs sham treatment for 15 stage II patients with ulcers <60cm². Median days to 100% healing was identical to the results in Figure 1 for all 20 stage II patients.

ulation. After the 12 weeks of treatment, two of the stage III patients in the control group who remained unhealed received Diapulse treatment and healed completely within 43 days.

Discussion

This is the first study to substantiate Diapulse healing effects on stage II and stage III pressure ulcers in SCI patients in a placebo-controlled, prospective method. Patients in the treated group (active machine) experienced accelerated healing compared to the control group (placebo machine).

The most difficult variable to control in a wound healing trial is that of initial wound size. However, it has been suggested that the relative rate of closure is remarkably independent of initial wound size.⁷ Moreover, an analysis of two variables heavily influencing healing rates, i.e.

initial granulation and initial epithelialization, reveals an advantage for the placebo group regarding initial granulation (average 48% vs 31% in treated group). There was no difference in initial epithelialization (11% average in both groups). Thus, with some conservatism, the measurement selected for analysis was percentage of healing achieved after one week. This percentage is defined as the reduction in wound area (width x length) from the initial measurements.

Average percentage healing achieved at one week was 77% in the treated group (standard deviation of 21%) vs 40% in the control group (standard deviation of 28%). This accelerated rate of healing translated in an advantage of almost three to one for the active Diapulse treated group in number of days until complete healing (12.67 in the treated vs 35 with the placebo group). Prolonged tissue ischemia caused by external pressure exceeding tissue capillary pressure may be exacerbated by the development of edema. The rate of diffusion of oxygen and nutrients to the cells is decreased due to impaired capillary and micro-circulation and lack of proper oxygenation of tissue.²⁰ Diapulse accelerates the elimination of edema^{2,7,8,9,10,11,17,18} permitting restoration of normal blood flow, nutrients, and re-establishing oxygenation to tissue. The mode of action of non-thermal pulsed radio waves produced by Diapulse are created through electromagnetic induction. The RF field generates ionic currents in the organism. Lazarev²¹ has proposed the hypothesis that the influence of the field increases the ion concentration in the vicinity of cell membranes. The action of the electrical field on charged particles leads to forced motion. Herrick observed the pearl chain effect on cells when exposed to Diapulse.²² It has been demonstrated that Diapulse eliminated edema in acute and chronic wounds.^{7,9, 10,11,16,17,18,23,24} Through the piezoelectric response to Diapulse, it appears that damaged cells recover more quickly due to repolarization and increased sodium pump reaction times.

To further educate and evaluate the use of Diapulse on wound healing of pressure ulcers among spinal cord-injured patients, the following areas remain open for additional research with large numbers of patients: stage II pressure ulcers > 60cm², stage III pressure ulcers, patients with multiple pressure ulcers, women, and post-surgical debridement. Future research could also include a pressure ulcer index for SCI patients.




Figure 4. Comparison of healing in active Diapulse vs sham treatment for 10 stage III patients.

In conclusion, these results suggest that Diapulse treatment is safe and accelerates wound healing in SCI patients with stage II and stage III pressure ulcers. The improved healing rate also suggests that Diapulse may be a cost-effective treatment for a very expensive problem.²⁵

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the assistance provided by the Castle Point Veterans Medical Center, John George, Chief of SCI Services, members of the Institutional Review Board, and the SCI Nurses. We are indebted to Vivian Beyda, Dr. PH, and the Eastern Paralyzed Veterans Association who supported this study with a research grant.

References

1. Comorosan S, Pana I, Pop L, et al. The influence of pulsed high peak power electromagnetic energy (Diapulse) treatment on posttraumatic algoneurodystrophies. *Rev Roum Physiol* 1991;28(3-4):77-81.
2. Barclay V, Colier RJ, Jones A. Treatment of various hand injuries by pulsed electromagnetic energy (Diapulse). *Physiotherapy* 1983;69(6):186-8.
3. Erdman WJ. Peripheral blood flow measurements during application of pulsed high frequency currents. *Am J Ortho* 1960;2:196-7.
4. Cameron BM. Experimental acceleration of wound healing. *Am J Ortho* (Independent statistical analysis available, unpublished) 1961;3(11):336-43.
5. Fenn J. Effects of pulsed electromagnetic energy (Diapulse) of experimental hematomas. *Canadian Med Assoc J* 1969;2:251-4.
6. Till M, Gubisch W, Friskert G, et al. Postoperative management with pulsed high frequency electromagnetic energy: A clinical study of 38 patients with rhinoseptoplasty. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir* 1993;25(1):26-32.

7. Wilson DH. Treatment of soft-tissue injuries by pulsed (high frequency) electrical energy. *Br Med J* 1972;2(29):269-70.
8. Pennington GM, Danley DL, Sumko MH, et al. Pulsed non-thermal, high frequency electromagnetic energy (DIAPULSE) in the treatment of grade I and grade II ankle sprains. *Mil Med* 1993;158(2):101-4.
9. Bentall RHC, Eckstein. A trial involving the use of pulsed electromagnetic therapy on children undergoing orchiopexy. *Zeitschrift Fur Kinderchirurgie* 1975;17(4):380-9.
10. Goldin J. The effects of Diapulse on the healing of wounds: A double-blind randomized controlled trial in man. *Br J of Plas Surg* 1981;34:267-70.
11. Rhodes LC. The adjunctive utilization of Diapulse therapy (pulsed high peak power electromagnetic energy) in accelerated tissue healing in oral surgery. *Quarterly of the National Dental Association* 1981;39(4):166-75; 1981; 40(1):4-11.
12. Raji ARM, Bowden REM. Effects of high-peak pulsed electromagnetic field on the degeneration and regeneration of the common peroneal nerve in rats. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1983;65B(4):478-92.
13. Raji AM. An experimental study of the effects of pulsed electromagnetic field (Diapulse) on nerve repair. *J Hand Surg Br* 1984;9(2):105-12.
14. Pop L, Muresan M, Comorosan S, Paslaru L. The effects of pulsed, high frequency radio waves on rat liver. *Physiolo Chem Phys Med NMR* 1989;21(1):45-55.
15. Arghiropol M, Jieanu V, et al. The stimulation of fibronectin synthesis by high peak power electromagnetic energy (Diapulse). *Rev Roum Physiol (Romanian J Phys)* 1992;29(3-4):77-81.
16. Duma-Drzewinska A, Buczynski ZA, Weiss M. Pulsed high frequency currents (Diapulse) applied in treatment of bed sores. *Polski Tygodnik Lekarski* 1978;XXXIII(22):885-7 (German).
17. Itoh M, Montemayor JS, Matsumoto E, et al. Accelerated wound healing of pressure sores by pulsed high peak power electromagnetic energy (Diapulse). *Decubitus* 1991;4(1):24-34.
18. Comorsan S, Vasilco R, Arghiroplo M, et al. The effects of Diapulse therapy on the healing of decubitus sores. *Romanian J of Phys (Physiological Sciences)* 1993; 30(1-2).
19. SPSS/PC+ (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). [computer program] MS-DOS version. Norusis MJ, SPSS Inc. 444 N. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. 1988.
20. Krusen. *Handbook of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 1971; 643-7.
21. Lazarev. Theory of the action of short and ultra-shortwaves. *Klin. Med* 1935;1583-9.
22. Herrick. *American congress of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 1958.
23. Marha. *Electromagnetic fields and the life environment* 1971;48.
24. Bornstein LA. Acceleration of transfer of tube pedicles and flaps. Abstracts: *XI Latin American Congress of Plastic Surgery* (Bogata, Columbia). 1969(Oct).
25. Maver RW. Actuarial analysis of a cost effective new medical technology. *J of Insur Med* 1991;23(2):120-2.